Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION PO BOX 142950 GAINESVILLE FL 32614-2950

MAY 3 0 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Patent No. 6,965,026

Michael J. Zaworotko et al.

Issue Date: November 15, 2005 Application No. 10/083,781

Filed: February 25, 2002

Atty Docket No. USF-104XC1

: LETTER REGARDING

PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

This is in response to the "COMMUNICATION", filed November 9, 2005, pursuant to patentees' duty of candor and good faith to the Office. Patentee states that the 342 days of patent term adjustment as indicated on the Issue Notification for the above-captioned application is incorrect.

The request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment indicated on the patent is **DISMISSED**.

On November 15, 2005, the application matured into U.S. Patent No. 6,965,026, with a revised patent term adjustment of 342 days. While this communication was filed prior to the issuance of the patent and on the belief that the patent would be issued with an incorrect number of PTA days, no basis for entitlement to PTA days other than 342 has been provided.

A review of the application history reveals that the patent term adjustment of 342 days indicated on the Issue Notification and on the patent is correct. The 342 days of PTA was calculated as follows: After the initial determination of 292 days Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b), 50 days were accorded for PTO delay in issuing the Patent on November 15, 2005, more than four months from the payment of the issue fee on May 26, 2005.

The application history reveals no further bases for adjustment or reduction of the term of the patent issuing from the above-identified application. Patentee has not stated the basis for his assertion that the PTA should be other than 342 days.

In view thereof, it is concluded that the patent correctly issued with a revised patent term adjustment of 342 days.

As this letter was submitted as an advisement to the Office of an error in Patentee's favor, the Office will not assess the \$200.00 application fee under 37 CFR 1.705(b). The Office thanks patentee for their good faith and candor in bringing this to the attention of the Office.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By fax:

(571) 273-8300

ATTN: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to Senior Petitions. Attorney Patricia Faison-Ball, at (571) 272-3212.

Nancy Johnson

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions